



Meeting:	Teleconf#02
Date and Time:	Thu 04th Jun 2015, 11am (1 hour)
Venue:	Adobe Connect
Agenda:	https://grid.ifca.es/wiki/INDIGO/WP2/Meetings#Teleconf_.2301

<u>1. PARTICIPANTS</u>	2
<u>2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING (NOT COVERED)</u>	3
<u>3. AGENDA BASHING</u>	3
REVIEW OF ANNEXES D2.1	3
<u>4. ACTIONS</u>	5
<u>5. DATE FOR NEXT MEETING</u>	6



INDIGO - DataCloud

1. Participants

Name and Surname	Abbr.	Organisation	Membership ¹
Fernando Aguilar	FA	P0 IFCA-CSIC	
Jesús Marco	JMdL	P0 IFCA-CSIC	
Ignacio Blanquer	ET	P1 UPV	
Antonio Rosato	AR	P2 CIRMMP	
Alexandre Bonvin	AB	P4 U.Utrecht	
Laura Beranzoli	LB	P9 INGV	
Max, Manuela, Lucio			
Davide Madonna	DM	P6 ICCU	
Eva Cetinic	KS	P10 RBI	
Giacinto Donvito	GD	INFN	

Apologies: Peter Solagna (EGI.eu), Riccardo Smareglia, Angelo Antonelli (P3 INAF), Sandro Fiore (P5 CMCC), Federico Zambelli (P8 CNR)

¹ Member, Observer, Sec Support



INDIGO - DataCloud

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING (not covered)

The minutes of the kick-off meeting held on Thu 19th May 2015 in Lisbon have been posted. No further additions/corrections have been reported.

3. AGENDA BASHING

Review of Annexes D2.1

(If needed, there will be ad hoc individual meetings with contact person in the Annexes. One by one we will review all the documents in detail. This first iteration starts with a general overview.)

Ignacio Blanquer – EUB

For this case of BioBank, one of the main requirements is to keep data anonymous. Sections 1-3 have been filled but there are some issues in section 4, in particular 4.2. Problems:

1. Section 4.2. This section seems to be the same of previous section. Copy/Paste?
2. Simulation and modeling in section 5. For this case study there is no simulation nor modeling, but post processing.
3. Section 6 needs some advice.

JM:

1. DMP related to H2020. We think keeping both sections is a good idea because make us think about it: volume of data, how to share, etc. DMP according to H2020 and <http://www.dcc.ac.uk/dmponline> has three stages: initial, intermediate and final. For our case we think initial and final stage are important. For the initial stage (Section 4.1) the approach is before producing data while the final stage (4.2) is on production (how we manage repositories, publications, etc.).
2. Is an arbitrary name but can be filled with data processing, data analyzing, etc.
3. We need specific use cases and user stories allow us to see the steps in an “agile” way. In some cases we need to specify a particular application. We can provide some simple example. It needs to be certain and short.

IB: They need to discuss, so they will add this information in few days.

Antonio Rosato – CIRMMMP

Sections 1-3 filled. Similar concepts in different sections.

Regarding DMP, It should be done focused on Research Infrastructure or Community?



JM: We need a case study and it can keep more than one use case, so DMP should be filled according to particular cases: how to share the data, access, etc.

AR: It is not possible to control data produced by users.

JM: We need to find a case for DMP if it makes sense.

AR: Need to discuss to the community. No time to fill sections 5 and 6.

Regarding section 6, we no need to do something more complex that we do. We need something simple, short sentences.

Alexandre Bonvin – HADDOCK

AB: The document is redundant in several sections. For data life cycle is not possible to check user's computers. For section 5 general use cases, packages for portals, but this information could be not relevant. For mid-term requirements for EGI, not use case but community.

JM: According to virtual cluster, Who would launch it? Final user? VO manager?

AB: For the end user it have to be transparent. He will add something more to complete this information.

JM: Add information of what you should see in INDIGO like deployment time, behavior, etc.

(right after meeting: nice section 6/use case example sent!)

Davide Madonna – ICCU

Not yet completed, but it is not difficult so far. Someone is missing to help them to fill, but the document will be ready for the beginning of next week.

Eva Cetinic – DARIAH

Similar case. What is the approach to fill the document? General? Specific use cases?

JM: there are sections for both. For section 6 use cases to solve within INDIGO. 2-3 are more general. User stories specific use cases and how INDIGO would help.

The annex from DARIAH will be ready for the next week.

Laura Beranzoli – INGV

The project in some cases is not so matured than others in INDIGO, so they have problems to fill some sections, like KPI. For DMP, section 4.1 only can be filled with very basic components or implementation plans.

JM: Need to identify use cases for INDIGO, like complete temporal series.



Conclusions

Reasonable start for the deliverable. We will provide detailed feedback, we will contact you if needed (individual meetings) and we will iterate the documents with JRA. We will be able to complete and modify these documents during the project.

4. Actions

ID	Resp.	Description	Status ²
A2.1.1	PS, KS, FA	Develop a questionnaire/template for requirements from user communities. Start from a guide closer to researchers. Initial target: before Lisbon meeting (18th may).	OPEN In progress
A2.2.1	JMdL, FA, MR,	Develop a discussion scheme for these issues in the Open Data session at EGI Conference (LW, EMSO and CTA invited to join the meeting and present) (Action on Jesus/Fernando in contact with Paolo/Max in EMSO and Angelo in CTA and confirm them with Lukasz).	CLOSED Achieved
A2.3.1	FA	Start compiling the info required for the TEST use cases. Action on Fernando (to trigger the discussion and circulate the initial template provided (on Friday) by Alexandre, see also wiki). Target: before EGI conference	OPEN In progress
A2.4.1	All	Start compiling a list of events of interest for the different partners in relation to INDIGO. Assigned to all partners but see below as this action will be more general within INDIGO.	OPEN In progress
A2.1.2	PS, FA, someone from JRA	Determine the method we will use to manage requirements: describe requirements handling process, tool to use (OpenProject, RT, other), etc.	NEW

² NEW, OPEN, CLOSED, REJECTED



5. Date for Next Meeting

The next meeting will take place on 18th June Thursday 11h (TBC). The deliverable D2.1 should be finished by then. There being no further business, the meeting concluded at 11:55

Minutes prepared by Fernando Aguilar, Thu 04th May 2015

Minutes corrections (before next meeting):